

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/902,287	07/10/2001	Michael Conor Minogue		8694	
20999	7590 03/21/2005		EXAMINER		
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			MULLEN, KRISTEN DROESCH		
	AVENUE- 10TH FL. L, NY 10151		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3762	3762	

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			50			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•		09/902,287	MINOGUE ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kristen Mullen	3762			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE N - Extensifier S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
tus	·					
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/22/	05 (response).				
• —	<u> </u>	action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
positi	on of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1,3-58 and 60-71 is/are pending in the	e application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1,3-11,14-58 and 60-71</u> is/are rejected.					
•	☑ Claim(s) <u>12 and 13</u> is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
plicati	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 10 July 2001 is/are: a)	oxtimes accepted or b) $oxtimes$ objected to $oxtimes$	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
ority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau		· ·			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	su.			

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

Disposition of Claims

Application Papers

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

Period for Reply

Status

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other:

Attachment(s)

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

1. The finality of the rejection of the last Office action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 64, 69, and 71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 64 recites the limitation "the interval between pulses of each pulsed interval" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 69 recites the limitation "the duration of each pulsed signal" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 71recites the limitation "the magnitude of each pulse of each pulsed signal" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 3-11, 14-28, 32-39, 44-45, and 48-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Russek (4,381,012).

Art Unit: 3762

Regarding claim 1, Russek shows a device including attachment means (120) for extending around the torso of a subject; a main locating means (51-54) for locating a central electrode (50) substantially about the umbilicus of the subject; and two secondary locating means (55, 56) provided on the attachment means disposed on opposite sides of the main locating means for locating two corresponding side electrodes of the at least three electrodes, a first of the two side electrodes (placed at 55 or 56) spaced apart from the central electrode (placed at 51-54) in a general direction of towards the left mid-axillary line or the subject and a second of the two side electrodes (placed at 55 or 56) spaced apart from the central electrode (placed at 51-54) in a general direction of towards the right mid-axillary line or the subject (Fig 7).

Regarding claims 3-5, Russek shows the secondary locating means (55, 56) are disposed on the attachment means *for* locating the respective side electrodes toward the midpoint of the corresponding mid-axillary line between the rib cage and the corresponding iliac crest, adjacent the corresponding mid-axillary line, and adjacent the midpoint of the corresponding mid-axillary line between the rib cage and the corresponding iliac crest (Fig 7).

With respect to claims 6-7, Russek shows the main locating means (51-54) is disposed on the attachment means *for* locating the central electrode on the umbilicus and extending completely around the umbilicus (Fig. 7).

Regarding claims 8-10, Russek shows a reference means (4) for locating the attachment means on the torso relative an anatomic reference, circumferentially around the torso and vertically along the torso (Fig. 7).

Art Unit: 3762

With respect to claim 11, Russek shows the main locating means (51-54) act as a reference means *for* locating the attachment means relative to the umbilicus (Fig. 7). The examiner points out that one can see and feel the locating means on the attachment means.

The functional language and statements of intended use (such as "for") have been carefully considered but are not considered to impart any further structural limitations over the prior art.

With respect to claims 14-15, Russek further shows the attachment means comprises a resilient material being of greater stretchability than that of other materials of the attachment means (Col. 3, lines 9-50).

Regarding claims 16-17, Russek further shows the main electrically conductive contact means (50) is provided on the attachment means corresponding to the main locating means and located within and adjacent to the main locating means (54) (Figs. 7-8).

With respect to claims 18-20, Russek further shows two secondary electrically conductive contact means (50) on the attachment means, and each secondary contact means (50) is located adjacent the secondary locating means (55, 56) (Col. 5, lines 1-25).

With respect to claims 21-22, Russek further shows each main and secondary locating means (51-56) is provided as a visually perceptive locating means and formed as a corresponding locating mark on the attachment means (Fig. 7).

Regarding claim 23, Russek shows each locating means (51-56 is adapted for locating a patch type electrode (50)(Fig. 7).

With respect to claims 24-25, Russek shows at least three patch electrodes (50) are formed as a removable part of the device (Col. 5, lines 1-25; Fig. 7).

Art Unit: 3762

Regarding claim 26, Russek shows each side electrode is sized to cover at least a portion of the corresponding lower thoracic nerves and the corresponding second lumbar nerves. The side electrodes of Russek, if applied to the abdomen rather than the back, are of sufficient size to cover at least a portion of the corresponding lower thoracic nerves and the corresponding second lumbar nerves.

With respect to claim 27, Russek shows each central electrode (50, 53, 54) is sized to extend substantially across the rectus abdominus muscle (Fig. 9; Col. 10, line 40-Col. 11, line 17). The central electrode of Russek, if applied to the abdomen rather than the back, is of sufficient size to extend *substantially* across the rectus abdominus muscle.

Regarding claim 28, Russek further shows the area of contact of each side electrode (electrodes 50 corresponding to 55, 56) does not exceed the area of contact of the central electrode (electrodes 50, corresponding to 51, 52, 53, 54) (Figs. 7-8).

With respect to/regarding claims 32, 37, Russek further shows a receiving means (83) provided in the attachment means for receiving a signal generating means (82) and the receiving means is a releasable receiving means for releasably receiving the signal generating means (Col. 5, lines 62-68).

Regarding claims 33-36, Russek further shows main and secondary electrical connecting means (8-13) located in the attachment means and extending between the receiving means and the signal generating means (TENS) and the main contact means and extending between the receiving means and the secondary contact means (Figs. 1, 3, 4-5, 7).

With respect to claim 38-39, Russek further shows the attachment means comprises a belt (Figs. 1, 3, 7, 9, 12, 13) and a securing means (2, 3).

Art Unit: 3762

Regarding claims 40-43, Russek further shows main fastening means comprising stud fasteners (51-54, 61-64) provided corresponding to the main locating means and secondary fastening means comprising stud fasteners (55-56, 65-66) for fastening the respective side electrodes to the attachment means adjacent the secondary locating means (Figs. 7-11, 18; Col. 5, lines 26-54).

Regarding claim 44, Russek further shows each stud fastener comprises a female (51-56, 61-66) and male part (57, 66') (Figs. 9-10, 18)

With respect to claim 45, Russek further shows each stud fastener is electrically conductive (Col. 5, lines 26-54).

Regarding claims 48-49, Russek shows each stud fastener comprises a first part (57) for attaching to a corresponding electrode and a second part (51-56, 61-66, 66') for attaching to the attachment means wherein the first and second parts engage each other with electrically conductive engagement (Figs. 7-11, 18).

6. Claims 52-58 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Piunti (5,724,996).

With respect to claim 52, Piunti shows providing at least three electrodes, one electrode being a central electrode and the other two electrodes being side electrodes, providing means (conductor wires 2b) electrically connecting said at least three electrodes and a signal generator, said means enclosed within an attachment means (insulation of the conductor wires); and passing at least one pulsed signal subcutaneously through the subject between the at least three electrodes (Figs. 2-3, 8; Col. 3, lines 5-25; Col. 4, lines 8-13).

Regarding claim 53-58, the statements of intended use have been carefully considered, but are not deemed to place any further restrictions on the method. The location of the various electrodes on the subject is deemed to be intended use since the steps of placing the various electrodes in the various locations have not been recited.

With respect to claim 60, Piunti further shows the at least one pulsed signal is applied simultaneously to each of the side electrodes (Claims 1, 4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Russek (4,381,012). Russek discloses the claimed invention except for each set of secondary locating means comprising three secondary locating means. It would have been an obvious design choice to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify each set of secondary locating means with two secondary locating means as taught by Russek with each set of secondary locating means having three secondary locating means, since applicant has not disclosed that this third locating means provides any criticality and /or unexpected results and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with any number of secondary locating means in the set of secondary locating means such as the two secondary locating means as taught by Russek for locating electrodes on the belt.

Art Unit: 3762

9.

(4,381,012). Russek discloses the claimed invention except for the specific size of the each side

Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Russek

Page 8

electrode. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to form the side electrode

having a width of 50 mm to 150 mm since such a modification would have involved a mere

change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the

level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 UPSQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

10. Claims 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Russek

(4,381,012) in view of Linder (5,190,036). Russek shows all but the electrodes having a gel type

coating provided on a side of each electrode facing away from the attachment means. Attention

is directed to Linder, which shows electrodes with an electrically conductive gel-type coating

provided on a side of each electrode facing away from the attachment means in order for the

electrode to make electrical contact with the skin (Col. 3, lines 24-33). Therefore, it would have

been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify

the device of Russek to include a conductive gel-type coating provided on a side of each

electrode facing away from the attachment means as Linder teaches in order to make electrical

contact with the skin.

11. Claims 46-47, and 50-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Russek (4,381,012) as applied to claims 44 and 48 above. Russek discloses the claimed

invention except for showing the exposed surface of the portions of each stud fastener attached

to the attachment means is of electrically insulating material provided by an electrically insulated

coating. It would have been an obvious design choice to one with ordinary skill in the art at the

time the invention was made to modify the exposed portions of the stud fasteners as taught by

Art Unit: 3762

Russek with insulating coatings in order to protect a user who may come into contact with the exposed portions of the stud fasteners from electrical shock.

Claims 61-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Piunti 12. (5,724,996). Piunti discloses the claimed invention except for each pulsed signal comprising a plurality of pulses at intervals in the range of 5 msec to 1000msec, 20 msec to 40 msec, and approximately 30 msec ± 20%; and adjustable interval; each pulsed signal comprises pulses of duration in the range of 10 µsec to 200000 µsec, 50 µsec to 1000 µsec, 100 µsec to 500 µsec, approximately 300 usec ± 20%; and adjustable duration; and each pulsed signal comprises a plurality of pulses of magnitude in the range of 0 mA to 100 mA and adjustable magnitude. It would have been an obvious design choice to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply pulses comprising a plurality of pulses at intervals in the range of 5 msec to 1000msec, 20 msec to 40 msec, and approximately 30 msec ± 20%; and adjustable interval; pulses of duration in the range of 10 µsec to 200000 µsec, 50 µsec to 1000 µsec, 100 µsec to 500 usec, approximately 300 μsec ± 20%; and adjustable duration; and each pulsed signal comprising a plurality of pulses of magnitude in the range of 0 mA to 100 mA and adjustable magnitude, since applicant has not disclosed that these particular pulse parameters provide any criticality and /or unexpected results and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with any pulses such as the pulses taught by Piunti for improving the muscle tone of the abdomen.

Application/Control Number: 09/902,287 Page 10

Art Unit: 3762

Allowable Subject Matter

13. Claims 12-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

14. Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-11, 14-28, 32-39, 44-45, and 48-49 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicants contend that because the locating means or snaps (51-56) of Russek may not be visible to the user because the electrodes are snapped into the locating means, the snaps can not be a locating means. However, the term "locating means" does not require that the locating means to be visible nor necessitate location of the location means by the sense of sight. Given that the attachment means is made of fabric, and the locating means or snaps are a relatively rigid material embedded in the fabric, the locating means can be located by the sense of touch.

Conclusion

15. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 3762

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kristen Mullen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4944. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 10:30 am-6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

kdm

Vister Mullen

ANGELA D. SYKES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

angel D. Ah